UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,819	03/22/2004	Hajime Imazeki	04191 /LH	3707

1933 7590 02/02/2009

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC
220 Fifth Avenue
16TH Floor
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708

EXAMINER	
RUSSELL, WANDA Z	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2416	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,819	IMAZEKI, HAJIME	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WANDA Z. RUSSELL	2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *20 November 2008*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-20* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zelig et al. (Pub No. US 2003/0012188 A1), in view of Kibe (Pub No. US 2001/0008536).

For **claim 8**, Zelig et al. substantially teach a transmission state indicating apparatus for a predetermined transmission system by SONET (Synchronous Optical Network)/SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy) (SONET, [0025], line 3), in which high capacity data is divided into a plurality of low capacity virtual containers (each such frame divided into sections for carrying respective sub-rate payloads, [0027], last 3 lines, and [0025]. For VT, see [0005], line 6. VT is the virtual container as claimed) and transmitted via a plurality of channels (26-24 –Fig. 1, and circuit, [0050], line 1 & lines 1-end) which configure a communication network by the SONET/SDH based on clocks (frames at the appropriate fixed clock rate, [0069], line 6 & lines 4-6) at the respective channels, the apparatus comprising:

a frame assembling unit which assembles a plurality of frames back into a multiplexed frame, wherein the plurality of frames are received in accordance with transmission states at the respective channels (A CE receiver 30, labeled CE2, receives

the CES packets from CE1 and reconstitutes the STS-1 frames to generate a SONET OC-N output signal with VT1.5 sections 34 corresponding to sections 26 of the input signal, [0065], lines 9-13, and Fig. 1), and wherein the assembling by the frame assembling unit is performed based on a reference clock (reference timing signal, [0034], line 8, and frames at the appropriate fixed clock rate, [0069], line 6 & lines 4-9) with respect to the virtual containers at the respective channels included in the plurality of frames including said plurality of low capacity virtual containers ([0050] and [0069]);

a pointer value detecting unit (adjust ... pointers, [0080], line 6. It implies that there is a pointer value detecting unit) which successively detects factors at the respective channels ([0080], lines 1-7) that are respectively included in the plurality of channels included in the multiplexed frame assembled by the frame assembling unit (adjust VT sections pointers individually, [0080], lines 6-7 & lines 1-12), and that are to be objects for delay absorption processings corresponding to the transmission states at the respective channels (there may be phase differences between the different input sources, [0080], lines 11-12; and performs pointer adjustments on the individual VT1.5 payloads, [0080], line 14 & lines 12-15), as a plurality of pointer values indicating a variation in phase or transmission delay during transmission (it is required to adjust the VT sections pointers individually, ... since there may be phase differences between the different input sources, refer to [0080], line 6 and lines 11-12) at the plurality of channels which configure the communication network by the SONET/SDH (multiple VT 1.5 channels in Fig. 1); and

a display unit (CEM header, [0079], line 10) which indicates the plurality of pointer values successively detected by the pointer value detecting unit, at the same time, corresponding to the plurality of channels (Pointer adjustments indicated by the N and P bits in the CEM header are also implemented at CE2, [0079], lines 9-11).

However, Zelig et al. fail to specifically teach more accurately a pointer value detecting unit and a display unit.

Kibe teaches a pointer value detecting unit (21-Fig. 1) and a display unit (26 -Fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Zelig et al. with Kibe to obtain the invention as specified, for the detection and displaying of channel pointer value due to phase shifting of transmission.

For **claim 9**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 8, further comprising:

a storage unit (the "adjust the VT sections pointers" in [0080], line 6, implies that there is a storage unit for the pointers) which stores said plurality of pointer values successively detected by the pointer value detecting unit in association with information for indicating the plurality of pointer values at the same time in accordance with the plurality of channels ([0080], lines 1-7); and

a control unit (the "adjust the VT sections pointers" in [0080], line 6, implies that there is a control unit for the pointers) which reads said plurality of pointer values stored

in association with the information for indicating said plurality of pointer values corresponding to the plurality of channels at the storage unit, at the same time ([0080], lines 1-7).

However, Zelig et al. fail to specifically teach more accurately a pointer control unit and a storage unit.

Kibe teaches a pointer pointer control unit (30-Fig. 1) and a storage unit (34-Fig. 2, and [0051]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Zelig et al. with Kibe to obtain the invention as specified, for the adjustment of channel pointer value due to phase shifting between information to be multiplexed and frame to be inserted, with full control and storage functions).

For **claim 10**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 8, further comprising:

a control unit which carries out processing for indicating the plurality of pointer values successively detected by the pointer value detecting unit, by relative values with respect to a pointer value of a reference channel to be a reference among the plurality of channels in the case where said plurality of pointer values are indicated at the same time corresponding to the said plurality of channels ([0080], lines 6-7).

For **claim 11**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus

according to claim 8, wherein, the plurality of pointer values include values of AU (Administrative Unit) pointers included in H1 bytes and H2 bytes ([0070], line 9) which have been defined to show head portions of the virtual containers in case where the low capacity containers are contained in a payload, at the 4th row of an SOH (Section Overhead) (TOH/POH, [0070], lines 10-11) frame in which the plurality of frames are frames of an STM (Synchronous transfer mode) ([0011], 3rd line from the end) and are added to payloads of the frames of the STM.

For **claim 12**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 8, wherein, the plurality of pointer values include a value of H4 byte which has been defined at the 6.sup.th row of a POH (Pass Overhead) added to head portions of the respective virtual containers in case where the plurality of frames are frames of an STM (Synchronous transfer mode) and the virtual containers included in the frames of the STM system are a VC-3 format or a VC-4 format ([0072], line 12 & lines 6-13).

For **claim 13**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 8, wherein, the plurality of pointer values include values of AU (Administrative Unit) pointers included in H1 bytes and H2 bytes ([0070], line 9) which have been defined to show head portions of the virtual containers in case where the low capacity containers are contained in a payload, at the 4.sup.th row of an SOH (Section Overhead) (TOH/POH, [0070], lines 10-11) frame in which the plurality of frames are

frames of an STM (Synchronous transfer mode) ([0011], 3rd line from the end) and are added to payloads of the frames of the STM, and a value of H4 byte which has been defined at the 6.sup.th row of a POH (Pass Overhead) added to the head portions of the respective virtual containers when the plurality of frames are frames of the STM (Synchronous transfer mode) and the virtual containers included in the frames of the STM are a VC-3 format or a VC-4 format ([0072], line 12 & lines 6-13).

For **claim 14**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 8). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 8, further comprising:

a frame converting unit (CE2 30 in Fig. 1) which converts the multiplexed frame assembled by the frame assembling unit into a concatenation mapping according to rules of concatenation mapping ([0018], last 3 lines); and

an index value detecting unit (CE2 30 in Fig. 1) which detects a plurality of index values included in the concatenation mapping frame converted according to rules of the concatenation mapping by the frame converting unit ([0013], last 4 lines, and [0018], first 3 & last 3 lines).

For claims 1-7, they are method claims of claims 8-14, therefore they are rejected for the same reason above.

For **claim 15**, Zelig et al. substantially teach a transmission state indicating apparatus for a predetermined transmission system by SONET (Synchronous Optical Network)/SDH (Synchronous Digital Hierarchy) (SONET, [0025], line 3), high capacity data is divided into a plurality of low capacity virtual containers (each such frame divided

into sections for carrying respective sub-rate payloads, [0027], last 3 lines, and [0025]. For VT, see [0005], line 6. VT is the virtual container as claimed) and transmitted via a plurality of channels (26-24 –Fig. 1, and circuit, [0050], line 1 & lines 1-end) which configure a communication network based on clocks (reference timing signal, [0034], line 8, and frames at the appropriate fixed clock rate, [0069], line 6 & lines 4-9) at the respective channels, the apparatus comprising:

a plurality of clock reproducing units which reproduces the clocks of the respective channels from reception signals of a plurality of frames (A primary reference source (PRS) 48, as is known in the SONET art, is used to synchronize the clocks of transmitter 28 and receiver 30 for purposes of pointer adjustments, [0069], lines 6-9. From Fig. 2, it shows that both 28 and 30 in Fig. 1 have clock reproducing units) including the plurality of low capacity virtual containers ([0050] and [0069]. In addition, clock recovery –reproducing- is a known technique, See Blazo reference Fig. 2 for evidence);

a plurality of frame receiving units (several 26 –Fig. 1. The principle is the same for transmission and receiving) which receive the plurality of frames including the plurality of low capacity virtual containers in which the high capacity data is divided into the plurality of low capacity virtual containers (each such frame divided into sections for carrying respective sub-rate payloads, [0027], last 3 lines, and [0025]. For VT, see [0005], line 6. VT is the virtual container as claimed) and transmitted via the plurality of channels (CE2 30 –Fig. 1, and circuit, [0050], line 1 & lines 1-end) which configure the communication network by the SONET/SDH, corresponding to the plurality of the

respective channels, and detect the virtual containers at the respective channels (26-24 -Fig. 1, and [0080], lines 1-7) based on the clocks of the respective channels reproduced by the plurality of clock reproducing units (reference timing signal, [0034], line 8, and frames at the appropriate fixed clock rate, [0069], line 6 & lines 4-9);

a reference clock generating unit (PRS 48 –Fig. 2) which generates a reference clock ([0034], line 8);

a frame assembling unit which assembles a plurality of frames back into a multiplexed frame in accordance with transmission states of the respective channels (A CE receiver 30, labeled CE2, receives the CES packets from CE1 and reconstitutes the STS-1 frames to generate a SONET OC-N output signal with VT1.5 sections 34 corresponding to sections 26 of the input signal, [0065], lines 9-13, and Fig. 1) and based on the reference clock from the reference clock generating unit (reference timing signal, [0034], line 8, and frames at the appropriate fixed clock rate, [0069], line 6 & lines 4-9) with respect to the virtual containers([0050] and [0069]) at the respective channels (26-24 -Fig. 1, and [0080], lines 1-7) included in the plurality of the frames received corresponding to the plurality of channels by the plurality of frame receiving units (Fig. 1); and

a pointer value detecting unit (adjust ... pointers, [0080], line 6. It implies that there is a pointer value detecting unit before it can adjust it. See rejection with Kibe below for further information) which successively detects factors at the respective channels ([0080], lines 1-7) that are respectively included in the plurality of frames contained in the multiplexed frame assembled by the frame assembling unit (adjust VT

sections pointers individually, [0080], lines 6-7 & lines 1-12), and that are to be objects for delay absorption processings corresponding to the transmission states at the respective channels, as a plurality of pointer values indicating a variation in phase or transmission delay during transmission (it is required to adjust the VT sections pointers individually, ... since there may be phase differences between the different input sources, refer to [0080], line 6 and lines 11-12) at the plurality of channels which configure the communication network by the SONET/SDH, and which successively detects the plurality of pointer values based on variations in phases ([0080], line 11 & lines 7-15) at the respective channels to be detected from phase differences between the clocks at the respective channels reproduced by the plurality of clock reproducing units and the reference clock generated by the reference clock generating unit ([0080]).

However, Zelig et al. fail to specifically teach more accurately a pointer value detecting unit, a display unit, and an information storage unit.

Kibe teaches a pointer value detecting unit (21-Fig. 1); a display unit which indicates, at the same time, the plurality of pointer values for respectively evaluating the transmission states of the plurality of channels which configure the communication network by the SONET/SDH, corresponding to the plurality of channels, based on the plurality of pointer values and the information for indicating the plurality of pointer values corresponding to the plurality of channels which have been stored in association with one another in the information storage unit (26-Fig. 1, and [0050]); and an information storage unit which stores the plurality of pointer values successively detected by the

pointer value detecting unit in association with information for indicating the plurality of pointer values in accordance with the plurality of channels (34-Fig. 2, and [0051]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Zelig et al. with Kibe to obtain the invention as specified, for the adjustment of channel pointer value due to phase shifting between information to be multiplexed and frame to be inserted, with a storage function.

For **claim 16**, Zelig et al. and Kibe teach everything claimed as applied above (see claim 15). In addition, Zelig et al. teach the transmission state indicating apparatus according to claim 15, further comprising: a control unit (the "adjust the VT sections pointers" in [0080], line 6, implies that there is a control unit for the pointers) which carries out processing for indicating the plurality of pointer values by relative values with respect to a pointer value of a reference channel to be a reference among the plurality of channels ([0080], lines 6-7).

However, Zelig et al. fail to specifically teach more accurately a pointer control unit, and a display unit.

Kibe teaches a pointer control unit (30-Fig. 1), and a display unit (26-Fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Zelig et al. with Kibe to obtain the invention as specified, for the adjustment of channel pointer value due to phase shifting between information to be multiplexed and frame to be inserted.

For **claims 17-20**, they are corresponding to claims 11-14 respectively, therefore they are rejected for the same reason above.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed 11/20/2008 has been received and considered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. Applicant argues that there is no merit in indicating the values of channel pointers for comparison in the invention of Zelig et al, i.e., displaying the channel pointer values as according to the claimed present invention.

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In para. [0080] of Zelig et al., they teach pointer values indicating a variation in phase or transmission delay during transmission (it is required to adjust the VT sections pointers individually, ... since there may be phase differences between the different input sources, refer to [0080], line 6 and lines 11-12) as claimed in amended independent claims 1, 8, and 15. Note that the variation in phase or transmission delay only happen after transmission, such as at CE2, the receiver 30 in Fig. 1. And, along with Kibe's display unit, Zelig et al teach Pointer adjustments indicated by the N and P bits in the CEM header are also implemented at CE2 (see [0079], lines 9-11). The Kibe reference teaches a display unit. It is obvious that the information in the CEM header can be displayed on the display unit. See rejections above for more details.

5. Rejection of dependant claims remains effective.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WANDA Z. RUSSELL whose telephone number is (571)270-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin C. Harper/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Wanda Z Russell/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416